

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/324,568	06/02/1999	ADRIAN O. MANCINI	0500.01327	1726	
7.	590 07/15/2003				
CHRISTOPHER J. RECKAMP			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 0622			ZAND, KAMBIZ		
WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 606060229			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2132		
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		ΤĮ				
<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/324,568	MANCINI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kambiz Zand	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror . cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	4					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application	ı .					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception		aminor				
-						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> is: a)☑ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachment(s)	, , ===================================					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35,U.S.Code not included in this section can be found in the prior office action.
- The prior office actions are incorporated herein by reference. In particular, the observations with respect to claim language, and response to previously presented arguments.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 9 and 15 have been amended.
- 4. Claims 1-21 are pending.
- 5. Examiner withdraws objection to the drawings and specification due to correction by the applicant.
- Examiner withdraws rejection of claims 5-6,8,13-14,17-19 and 20 under 35 U.S.C
 112-second paragraphs due to correction by the applicant.
- Examiner withdraws objections of claims 1-21 due to corrections and clarification by Applicant.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 06/27/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As per claims 1-4,9-10 and 15-18 Applicant agrees Abadi teach "the cross certification occurs among different authorities" (see page 11 paragraph 3 of the response) but asserts that Abadi only disclose "the starting authority among inter subordinate authority is constant" in contrast with Applicant's invention wherein inter

Art Unit: 2132

trust authority modification data "to change the starting authority amongst subordinate authority".

Examiner refers Applicant to col.7, lines 27-40 wherein the main authority called group manager adds a principal (inter subordinate authority) to the group and changes the starting authority amongst other subordinate or other principal by allowing the principal to allow access request or certification request directly (see col.7, lines 38-40). Therefore there is generation of inter trust authority modification data to change the starting authority.

Col.7, lines 41-68 and col.8, lines 1-2 disclose how cross certification between multiple certification authority takes place all the way up to a common ancestor (superior authority).

As per claims 5 and 19 in light of limitations of claim 1 and 15 Abedi disclose the certification considered as data is being signed data in col.8, lines 37-44. the changing of the starting authority was disclosed above.

However Examiner agrees with Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 11 in light of limitations of claim 10 and 9 wherein the signing becomes more specific.

As per claims 6,, 12 and 20 Abedi teach at least one of the limitations of the claim "certificate expiry policy" in col.8, lines 47-52.

Art Unit: 2132

As per claims 7 and 13 Abedi disclose in col.8, lines 1-2 that trust authority is a root authority (common ancestor).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. Claims 1-10 and 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abadi et al (5,315,657 A).

As per claims 1 and 15 Abadi et al (5,315,657 A) teach an information security system and method comprising: a plurality of trusted authorities configurable in a rooted hierarchical structure (see col.7, lines 40-47) including at least one of the trusted authorities being a superior authority (see col.7, lines 27-29) and at least one of the trusted authorities being a subordinate authorities (see col.7, lines 45-48); and the superior authority operative to generate an inter trust authority modification data to a dynamically vary validation starting authorities among the subordinate authorities (see 66-68 and col.8, lines 1-2 wherein an inter trust between the different certificate authorities is being implemented by cross-certifying each other wherein their root is a common ancestor). Also see col.6, lines 58-68; col.7, lines 1-28; col.8, lines 55-68 and col.9 for more detailed inter trust between different authorities, their certification and verification.

Art Unit: 2132

As per claims 2 and 16 Abadi et al (5,315,657 A) teach the system and method of claims 1 and 15 wherein at least one of the subordinate authorities includes a certificate issuer operatively responsive to the inter trusted authority modification data for issuing certificate for at least one subscriber based on the inter trusted authority modification data (see col.7, lines 48-65 and col.col.8, lines 12-44 wherein the request for certification that is the modified data is being certified by inter trust authorities by issuing a signed certificate).

As per claims 3 and 17 Abadi et al (5,315,657 A) teach the system and method of claims 1 and 15 wherein at least one of the subordinate authorities includes a subscriber trust anchor specifier, operatively responsive to the inter trusted authority modification data, that generates trust modification data for a plurality of subscribers (see col.9, lines 24-54).

Claim 9 is combination of claims 1-3 and therefore Abadi et al (5,315,657 A) teach all limitation of the claim as being rejected based on rejection of claims 1-3 above.

As per claims 4, 10 and 18 Abadi et al (5,315,657 A) teach the system and method of claims 3, 9 and 17 wherein the trust anchor modification data includes subordinate trust anchor data representing at least one trust anchor different from a local trust authority (see col.66-68 and col.8, lines 1-2 wherein one of the user is not

Art Unit: 2132

certified by a local trust authority and that's why cross certification is being done between them in order to create a trust).

As per claims 5 and 19 Abadi et al (5,315,657 A) teach the system and method of claims 1 and 15 wherein the superior authority includes a trust anchor modification data certificate issuer that provides the trust anchor modification data as a signed data structure for the subordinate authorities (see col.7, lines 50-53 and col.8, lines 42-44 wherein all new certification are signed representing signed data structure).

As per claims 6, 12 and 20 Abadi et al (5,315,657 A) teach the system and method of claims 1, 9 and 15 wherein the trust anchor modification data includes data representing at least one of: scope of certification data, subordinate authority cross-certification allowance data, subordinate authority certification rule data, subordinate authority password rule data, subscriber trust anchor rule data and subscriber password rule data, certificate expiry policy, subscriber algorithm policy, and policy control message data (see as an example col.7, lines 66-68 and col.8, lines 1-2 for cross-certification data; col.8, lines 45-52 for certificate expiry policy).

As per claims 7 and 13 Abadi et al (5,315,657 A) teach the system and method of claims 1 and 12 wherein one of the trusted authorities is a root authority (see col.7, lines 53-55).

Art Unit: 2132

As per claims 8, 14 and 21 Abadi et al (5,315,657 A) teach the system and method of claims 1, 13 and 15 including subordinate authority memory containing data representing validation starting authority data, wherein the data is stored in response to receiving the inter trusted authority trust modification (see col.8, lines 42-44; col.10, lines 7-24).

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/324,568 Page 8

Art Unit: 2132

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (703) 306-4169. The examiner can normally reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703) 305-1830. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

After-Final (703) 746-7238

Official (703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240

Kambiz Zand

07/11/03

JUSTINT. DARROW JUSTINT. DARROW PRIMARY EXAMINER